International Journal of Education and Science Research Review
E-ISSN 2348-6457
November-December - 2017, Volume-4, Issue-6
P-ISSN 2349-1817
www.ijesrr.org
Email- editor@ijesrr.org

WATER QUALITY LEVEL FROM WELLS, BOREHOLES AND RIVERS

Dr. Shashi Lata Singh

Associate Professor , Department of Chemistry

SDGJ Government College Behror (Alwar)

ABSTRACT

Truly from days of yore point of provincial settlement was being controlled by water source like stream, waterway and spring. Other than the occupants of this early settlement depended on underground water, regularly inside a couple of meters of the surface and which they misused in well burrowing. The training has been a typical water hotspot for rustic community. Surface water is by and large poor in quality and there has been a conscious shift toward dependence on ground water for homegrown necessities. The ground water is just 0.6% of all out water assets. The tendency as a wellspring of relishing water country area is an aftereffect of the fairly favored quality over waterway test. Normal waters are being polluted by anthropogenic activity, which has a dynamic effect on the nature of water. Normal waters are being sullied.

Key Word: *wellspring, boreholes.*

INTRODUCTION

The water burned-through in India has expanded numerous folds inside a long time from around 25 billion cubic meters each year to roughly 46 billion cubic meters each year. A few spots in India, including Rajasthan, is confronting water emergency as far as water supply and water quality. Climate Canada1 called attention to fifteen causes produced because of broad urbanization and industrialization which have made water unsuitable for drinking and for marine environment. Absence of legitimate administration of horticulture and woods land use and mechanical and civil waste water effluents represent a danger to the waterway water quality. Nearby water bodies which are generally a significant wellspring of drinking water have now become a significant spot for unloading and releasing the waste. Shockingly, however ―water‖ can be viewed as an equivalent word for ―life‖, and still, after all that almost no has been done to figure the size of the impact caused because of contamination of water. This theory endeavors to draw the consideration towards the degree of debasement of water quality caused because of its contamination from different point, non point and normal sources. Researchers of National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur, India have announced that out of the accessible water in India, roughly 70% of it is contaminated. Thus, utilize the new water assets cautiously so as to keep up with just as support them as they are a type of restricted sustainable asset. Jaipur is encircled by slopes which are irregular. The Northern and Eastern limits of Jaipur are uneven though the Southern and Western limits are generally fields and having some segregated low slopes

POLLUTION IN RIVER WATER

Streams stream towards another water body consistently. Along these lines, the toxins present in the waterway are weakened and disintegrated much rapidly when contrasted with stale waters. Be that as it may, and still, at the end of the day, various waterways and streams are definitely dirtied. This is so on the grounds that since antiquated occasions, agribusiness is being drilled close to stream banks and presently likewise the spots close by regular water sources are the best regions for ranchers as these spots are amazingly ripe due to the presence of different supplement stores in that dirt. Since more established occasions and even presently, urban communities and enterprises are set by the waterway side since it is simple for them to dispose of the loss by unloading them into the stream.

Pollution Source

There is a distinction in the nature of water in various streams at better places. Ecological factors like neighborhood climatic conditions, nearby verdure, sorts of soil and shakes in the stream bed, distance of waterway from the ocean and so on are answerable for the above varieties. Yet, most importantly, at first the poisons come from mechanical squanders, rural regions and family squanders. Unsafe substance poisons like zinc, mercury, cyanide, lead, copper are delivered by the ventures into the streams which are exceptionally destructive and might kill the sea-going creatures. Further, if the measure of these synthetic compounds become excessively and they endure in the water body for a long while, they may cause hurt not exclusively to amphibian biology yet additionally to people and earthly creatures by entering, aggregating and coursing in the natural way of life. Other than this, ventures additionally release warm messy water into the streams which builds the temperature of waterway water diminishing the measure of disintegrated oxygen and in the long run making an unevenness in amphibian life.

INTERACTIONS OF GROUND WATER AND SURFACE WATER

Surface and Ground Water structure a solitary hydrological framework. Past training, be that as it may, has been to deal with these assets in segregation. Plainly, a coordinated methodology is needed to best oversee what is adequately a solitary asset inside numerous stream bowl settings. Given beneath are the systems and key cycles that happen during groundwater/surface water cooperations.

Concepts: Subsurface water, Water Table and Flow System

(A) Subsurface Water

Water happens at two spots underneath the surface Earth – the unsaturated zone and the immersed zone. The unsaturated zone has water and air in the unfilled spaces (voids) between sand, mud, sediment, and so forth which can't be drawn out. The water in upper piece of this zone is utilized for plant measures. This water can vanish into the climate either through happening or straightforwardly from soil water.

(B) Water Table

Water present in the soaked zone is called Ground water; the upper surface of the immersed zone is called Water Table. The distance of the water table from the outside of land isn't fixed all over. It additionally differs with seasons and starting with one year then onto the next on the grounds that the ground water really relies on the area, geography, climatic conditions and measure of precipitation around there. By and large, the water table is close to the land surface close to lakes, streams, wetlands, and so forth we can gauge the profundity of the water table.

Ground Water Tainting

Ground water tainting may occur as a rule through the accompanying sources:

(I) Agriculture sources

a) Fields with manures that have been applied in an excessive amount or in a twisted manner, as well as fields that have been treated with herbicides, insecticides, and fungicides, may introduce natural mixtures, an abundance of nitrogen, cadmium, mercury, selenium, and chloride toxins into the ground water.

b) Feedlots have the potential to be sources of pollution. Impoundments are used on a daily basis to collect animal waste, and the waste from these impoundments has the potential to contaminate the ground water. Another way for spillover to enter a spring is through a poorly secured well packing. The waste produced by domesticated animals is a source of nitrate, coliform bacteria, total dissolved solids (TDS), and sulphates.

c) Paint containing lead and barium, gas and oils containing unstable natural mixtures, barium from diesel fuel ignition, and rinsates containing deposits of pesticides or manures are examples of synthetic substances that could actually debase ground water if they are improperly stored or discarded in a carport or homestead equipment shed.

(ii) Household sources

a) The home is often the origin of several sources of pollution that find their way into the ground water. Impurities such as Coliform Bacteria, Nitrate, TDS, Chloride, Sodium, Sulfates, and Chromium can enter ground water if septic systems have holes, leaks, are overloaded, or have had their maintenance neglected. Other causes of ground water contamination include holes and spills.

b) Abandoned wells that have not been sealed up or destroyed provide an anticipated conduit (direct course) for water to stream directly from the surface to the springs, carrying whatever poisons are present on the superficial level into the ground water. An open well has the potential to become contaminated by liquids that are necessary for its operation, such as oil and oils from the syphon, or by toxins that have accumulated on the surface if the well cap is not securely fastened or if the packing that coats the well is damaged or consumed. In a similar fashion, a great number of more established homestead wells were only apertures that were dug into the earth at a short depth. These wells certainly have the potential to become contaminated.

c) Profluent, also known as surge, from septic tanks and cesspools is a substantial contributor to the contamination of ground water. Inappropriate use of these systems to remove garbage that is not homegrown or sterile can pose a significant threat to the ground water supply. Private wastewater systems have the potential to be a source of many different types of foreign pollutants, including bacteria, diseases, nitrates, human waste, and natural mixes.

d) The improper storage or disposal of household synthetic compounds, such as paints, engineered cleansers, solvents, oils, medications, sanitizers, pool synthetics, pesticides, batteries, gas, and diesel fuel, can lead to the contamination of ground water. These substances include: When stored in carports or storm cellars with floor drains, such contaminants may be introduced into the ground water if there is a spill or a flood. Since the landfills in the surrounding region are not equipped to deal with hazardous chemicals, the things, at the point when put in the household rubbish, will ultimately be conveyed into the ground water. This is due to the fact that the garbage dumps. Simply said, wastes that are not properly loaded or that are buried in the ground might contaminate the earth and leak into the ground water.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To evaluate the quality of underground water (well/ tube well water) of some representative areas in Kathalal region.

International Journal of Education and Science Research Review
November-December - 2017, Volume-4, Issue-6 E-ISSN 2348-6457 P-ISSN 2349-1817
www.ijesrr.org Email- editor@ijesrr.org

2. To categorize the different types of water with a view to putting it in proper classifications.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Dealing with and protecting of water model

During the hour that the water is being gathered and during the hour that it is being examined in its true form, the concept of the water model may shift due to a variety of physical, creation, and biochemical reactions. Save the models as soon as possible once they have been accumulated to minimise these kinds of alterations. Utilizing pocket metres and glass thermometers, an examination of a portion of the boundaries, including the ph and the temperature of the water, was carried out on the site. Fixation of the equivalent is performed by including solvent KI, Mnso4, and H2so4 at the site. After that, examination is performed in the exploration community close to various boundaries by using standard strategies suggested by APHA (1998); Kumar and Ravindranath (1998); Trivedy and Goel. Checking DO begins with water model freely accumulating in the DO container, as was mentioned earlier.

Table 1 Dealing with and protecting of water model

Sr.No.	Parameters of water analysis	Methods
1	Temperature	Thermometric
2	pH	Digital pH Meter
3	Ca^{+2} Hardness	Titration (EDTA-Titrimetric)
4	Mg^{+2}Hardness	Titration (EDTA-Titrimetric)
5	TDS	Digital TDS Meter
6	Total Alkalinity	Titrimetric using Indicators
7	Chloride	Argenometric

8	Phosphate	Spectrophotometric
9	Sulphate	Spectrophotometric
10	Nitrate	Spectrophotometric
11	Dissolve Oxygen	Titratomatric
12	COD	Open reflux method
13	F^{-1}	Spectrophotometer

DATA ANALYSIS

The information relating to substance examination of underground well, tube well and waterways water tests of Kathalal taluka of Kheda region are introduced in Table 2 to 6, separately. The base, most extreme and mean upsides of various synthetic boundaries for Kathalal taluka of Kheda locale are introduced in Table to, individually

Table 2 Village: - Sarali Time Period:- February-2015-March-2015

Sr.No.	Parameters	Value		
		Minimum	Maximum	Average
1	Tem.°C	27	29.4	28.2
2	P^H	6.45	7.5	6.98
3	Ca^{+2} Hardness mg/L	144	254	199
4	Mg^{+2}Hardness mg/L	81	98	89.5
5	TDS In ppm	654	875	764.5
6	Total Alkalinity mg/L	358	456	407
7	Chloride mg/L	254	365	309.5
8	PO_4^{-3} mg/L	1.1	2.1	1.6
9	SO_4^{-2} mg/L	0.5	1.4	0.95
10	NO_3^{-1} mg/L	2.5	5.4	3.95
11	D.O mg/l	3.4	4.8	4.1
12	COD	42	89	65.5
13	F^{-1}	1.5	4.2	2.85

Table 3 Village:-Pitahi Time Period:- March-2015-April-2015

Sr.No.	Parameters	Value		
		Minimum	Maximum	Average
1	Tem.°C	26.4	28.4	27.4
2	P^H	6.4	7.9	7.15
3	Ca^{+2} Hardness mg/L	98	198	148
4	Mg^{+2}Hardness mg/L	58	88	73
5	TDS In ppm	587	878	732.5
6	Total Alkalinity mg/L	305	522	413.5
7	Chloride mg/L	245	412	328.5
8	PO_4^{-3} mg/L	1.5	2.0	1.75
9	SO_4^{-2} mg/L	0.5	1.3	0.9
10	NO_3^{-1} mg/L	1.5	4.4	2.95
11	D.O mg/l	2.8	5.3	4.05
12	COD	28	68	48
13	F^{-1}	1.9	6.5	4.2

Table 4 Village:-Abhripur Time Period:-April-2015-May-2015

Sr.No.	Parameters	Value		
		Minimum	Maximum	Average
1	Tem.°C	27.5	29.6	28.55
2	P^H	5.85	7.5	6.675
3	Ca^{+2} Hardness mg/L	154	334	244
4	Mg^{+2}Hardness mg/L	78	96	51.5
5	TDS In ppm	558	877	717.5
6	Total Alkalinity mg/L	277	335	306
7	Chloride mg/L	177	402	298.5
8	PO_4^{-3} mg/L	1.0	1.8	1.4
9	SO_4^{-2} mg/L	0.5	1.4	0.95
10	NO_3^{-1} mg/L	1.5	6.2	3.85
11	D.O mg/l	3.8	8.8	6.3
12	COD	48	98	73
13	F^{-1}	1.5	4.2	2.85

Table 5 Village:-Bagdol Time Period:-May -2015-June-2015

Sr.No.	Parameters	Value		
		Minimum	Maximum	Average
1	Tem.°C	29.7	30.4	30.05
2	P^{H}	6.22	8.2	7.21
3	Ca^{+2} Hardness mg/L	108	311	209.5
4	Mg^{+2}Hardness mg/L	59	102	80.5
5	TDS In ppm	825	1055	940
6	Total Alkalinity mg/L	358	565	461.5
7	Chloride mg/L	187	290	238.5
8	PO_4^{-3} mg/L	1.3	3.1	2.2
9	SO_4^{-2} mg/L	0.5	1.8	1.15
10	NO_3^{-1} mg/L	2.5	5.4	3.95
11	D.O mg/l	2.2	5.8	3.9
12	COD	32	95	63.5
13	F^{-1}	1.5	4.2	2.85

Table 6 Village:-ChhipadiTime Period:-June-2015-July-2015

Sr.No.	Parameters	Value		
		Minimum	Maximum	Average
1	Tem.°C	29.7	30.5	30.1
2	P^{H}	6.48	7.65	7.07
3	Ca^{+2} Hardness mg/L	205	336	270.5
4	Mg^{+2}Hardness mg/L	75	119	97
5	TDS In ppm	448	968	272
6	Total Alkalinity mg/L	228	685	456.5
7	Chloride mg/L	198	421	119.5
8	PO_4^{-3} mg/L	1.1	2.1	1.6
9	SO_4^{-2} mg/L	1.4	2.4	1.9
10	NO_3^{-1} mg/L	2.2	5.6	3.9
11	D.O mg/l	2.1	4.6	3.35
12	COD	40	68	54
13	F^{-1}	2.5	7.4	4.95

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The code of the water tests of shallow very much drawn throughout the late spring season were reabbreviated as SSVAE, SSVMM, SSVPE, SSVPR and SSVPL individually and the code of the water tests of drill openings drawn throughout the mid-year season were reabbreviated as SBVAE, SBVMM, SBVPE, SBVPR and SBVPL separately. The investigated physical and synthetic boundaries were classified in Tables. The boundaries of shallow well water and drill opening water taken from various stations were thought about and classified in Tables. Measurable examination likewise was done to portray the examples and the qualities are introduced in Tables 7 A correlation of the different physical-synthetic qualities of the contemplated water test has been made with the WHO (1984) and BIS (1998) principles.

Table7:Parameter values of Stationcode VMM-Shallow well–Bore hole Summer Season- Kathlal taluk

S.No.	Parameters	Samplecode	
		SSVMM	**SBVMM**
1	Temperature(C)	27	26
2	pH	7.5	7.4
3	Turbidity(NTU)	5.5	7.8
4	Alkalinity(mg/L)	162	190
5	HardnessCa(mg/L)	50	65
6	HardnessMg(mg/L)	1.28	1.8
7	Salinity(ppm)	68	80
8	Fluoride(ppm)	0.51	0.78
9	Chloride(ppm)	221	232
10	Totaldissolvedsolids(TDS)(ppm)	350	380
11	Dissolvedoxygen(DO)(ppm)	6.2	6
12	BiologicalOxygenDemand(BOD)(ppm)	5.1	5.4
13	Electricalconductivity(Mics/cm)	630	740
14	TotalNitrogen(mg/L)	4	4.2
15	Nitrate(mg/L)	0.51	0.64
16	Sulphate(mg/L)	4.2	5.4
17	Ammonia(mg/L)	0.39	0.39
18	Phosphate(mg/L)	0.4	0.94
19	TotalPhosphorous(mg/L)	0.5	0.7
20	Sodium(mg/L)	16.1	19.9
21	Potassium(mg/L)	10.4	13
22	Oxidation-ReductionPotential(mV)	580	610

Table 8 :Parameter values of Station code VPE-Shallowwell–Borehole–SummerSeason- Kathlal taluk

S.No	Parameters	Samplecode	
		SSVPE	SBVPE
1	Temperature(C)	27	27
2	pH	7.6	7.8
3	Turbidity(NTU)	5.4	7
4	Alkalinity(mg/L)	154	188
5	HardnessCa(mg/L)	56	70
6	HardnessMg(mg/L)	1.6	1.5
7	Salinity(ppm)	69	71
8	Fluoride(ppm)	0.48	0.7
9	Chloride(ppm)	212	258
10	Totaldissolvedsolids(TDS)(ppm)	360	400
11	Dissolvedoxygen(DO)(ppm)	7.2	5.5
12	BiologicalOxygenDemand(BOD)(ppm)	5.8	4.6
13	Electricalconductivity(Mics/cm)	625	620
14	TotalNitrogen(mg/L)	3.8	4.6
15	Nitrate(mg/L)	0.42	0.76
16	Sulphate(mg/L)	4.6	6.1
17	Ammonia(mg/L)	0.4	0.4
18	Phosphate(mg/L)	0.64	0.86
19	TotalPhosphorous(mg/L)	0.48	0.64
20	Sodium(mg/L)	15.6	18.6
21	Potassium(mg/L)	11.8	14.8
22	Oxidation-ReductionPotential(mV)	585	630

Table 9 Parameter values of Station code VPR-Shallow well–Borehole–SummerSeason- Kathlal taluk

S.No	Parameter	Samplecode	
		SSVPR	SBVPR

1	Temperature(C)	27	26
2	pH	7.8	7.8
3	Turbidity(NTU)	5.6	7.2
4	Alkalinity(mg/L)	158	187
5	HardnessCa(mg/L)	57	71
6	HardnessMg(mg/L)	1.5	1.4
7	Salinity(ppm)	67	72
8	Fluoride(ppm)	0.42	0.81
9	Chloride(ppm)	220	260
10	Totaldissolvedsolids(TDS)(ppm)	370	379
11	Dissolvedoxygen(DO)(ppm)	7.3	5.2
12	BiologicalOxygenDemand(BOD)(ppm)	5.6	4.8
13	Electricalconductivity(Mics/cm)	620	635
14	TotalNitrogen(mg/L)	4.2	4.4
15	Nitrate(mg/L)	0.48	0.78
16	Sulphate(mg/L)	4.8	6.2
17	Ammonia(mg/L)	0.4	0.4
18	Phosphate(mg/L)	0.66	0.88
19	TotalPhosphorous(mg/L)	0.48	0.64
20	Sodium(mg/L)	15.4	18.4
21	Potassium(mg/L)	11.6	14.4
22	Oxidation-ReductionPotential(mV)	590	615

CONCLUSION

In this setting concentrates on water nature of chose water assets of Kathalal Region has been under taken for an incorporated investigation. The current exploration work manages the nature of underground well/bore well water and between relationship inside the properties of well/tube well water tests. Delegate water test were gathered and investigated utilizing the standard techniques of water examination. Following the strategies recorded by Richards (1954), the exactness and accuracy of the examinations were looked up. The outcomes acquired and ends inferred are summed up as follows. The underground water of Kathalal city and Khadal was minor to acceptable quality, while, the ground water of Manor Na Muvada and Kakarkhad of Kathalal taluka of Kheda area was arranged under hurtful to extremely destructive classes.

REFERENCES

1. Mitra A and Gupta S. K. J. 1999 Indian Soc Soil Sci. , 47, 99-105.
2. Bharambe, P.A.; Rodge, R.P. and Ambegaonkar, P.R. (1992). Depth and quality of underground waters as affected by canal irrigation. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science., 40 : 344-347.
3. Abdul Jarneel, A., 1998. Physico-chemical studies in Uyyoakondan channel water of river Cauvery .Poll res.17-(2): 11-1 14.
4. CPHEEO., 1991. Manual on Water Supply and Treatment. CPHEEO,Ministry of urban Development, New Delhi.
5. Charles, C, Davis., 1985. The marine and fresh water plankton. Michigan State University Press. 62
6. Ramakrishnaiah C. R. , Sadashivaiah C. and Ranganna G.,2009 Assessment of Water Quality Index for the Groundwater in Tumkur Taluk,Karnataka State, India.E-Journal of Chemistry. 6(2), 523-530.
7. Prasad D. S. Rajendra,C. Sadashivaiah, Ranganna, 2015. A Comparative Study of Techniques for Prediction of Water Quality Parameters. International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering, 04, (06)423-428.
8. Acharya, G.D. Hathi M.V. , patel Asha d. ,Paramar. K.C.,2008 Chemical properties of Groundwater in Bhiloda Taluka Region,North Gujarat,India.Ejournal of Chemistry,5(4)792-796.
9. Chauhan M.L., Vyas N.N. Pandya R.N., Patel V.R., and Vohrab Nikhat, 2012,Physico-chemical studies on bore wells water of Godhra Taluka territory (Gujarat) Archives of Applied Science Research, 4 (1):426-432
10. Kumar, Rajesh Yadav S.S., 2011 Fluoride in drinking water: Its effects and possible removal methods, Shodh Samiksha aur Mulyakan, , 2(22), 19-20.
11. G. Madhurambal & S. Ponsadai Lakshmi, 2010 .Ground Water Quality Assessment for Different purpose in Nagapattinam District, Tamilnadu, India. An International Journal of Chemistry. 1 (2), 108-119 .
12. Limbachiya M.C, Patel K.C, Nimavat K. S and Vyas K.B 2011 Study on quality of irrigation water of vijapur taluka of mehsana District,Gujarat (India) Elixir Appl. Chem. (40)5471-5473.
13. Kumar Rajesh, Yadav S. S. and Singh R. T. 2012, Water quality index assessment of ground water in Koilwar block of Bhojpur (Bihar)Neerja Kalra*3, 3 Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 4(3).
14. Rastogi A.K., 2007Numerical Groundwater Hydrology, PIP Publication, Mumbai, , 913-949
15. Ramakrishanaiah C.R., Sadashivaiah C. and Ranganna, G. 2009 Assessment of water quality index for the ground water in Tumkur Taluk, Karnataka state, India, , 6(2), 523-530.